1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP			
2	Alex Spiro ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 51 Madison Ave, 22nd Floor			
3	New York, NY 10010			
4	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100			
	alexspiro@quinnemanuel.com			
5	Christopher G. Michel (pro hac vice)			
6	Rachel G. Frank (California Bar No. 330040) 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900			
7	Washington, D.C. 20005			
8	Telephone: (202) 538-8000 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100			
9	christophermichel@quinnemanuel.com			
10	rachelfrank@quinnemanuel.com			
11	Attorneys for Respondent Elon Musk			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15				
16	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 3:23-mc-80253-JSC		
17	COMMISSION,	DECLARATION OF ALEX SPIRO IN		
18	Applicant,	SUPPORT OF ELON MUSK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY IN		
19	v.	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DE NOVO		
	ELON MUSK,	DETERMINATION OF DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE		
20	,	JUDGE		
21	Respondent.			
22	DECLARATION	OF ALEY SDIDO		
23	DECLARATION OF ALEX SPIRO			
24	I, Alex Spiro, declare as follows:			
25	1. I am an attorney at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP. I submi			
26	this declaration in support of Mr. Musk's Motion for Leave to File a Reply in support of his Motion			
27	for De Novo Determination of Dispositive Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge. The matters in this			
28	declaration are based on my personal knowledge and my review of the filings in this case.			

Case No. 3:23-mc-80253-JSC

Declaration of Alex Spiro in Support of Motion for Leave to File Reply

1	2.	On February 16, 2024, the Court i	ssued a Report & Recommendation recommending
2	the grant of the SEC's application to compel compliance with an administrative subpoena. Dkt. No		
3	40; Dkt. No.	40-1. The Court also noted that th	is matter should have been transferred to a district
4	judge after M	Ar. Musk declined magistrate judge	jurisdiction. Dkt. No. 40 at 1.
5	3.	On March 1, 2024, Mr. Musk	filed a Motion for De Novo Determination of
6	Dispositive	Matter Referred to Magistrate Jud	dge, identifying his objections to the Report &
7	Recommend	ation. Dkt. No. 42.	
8	4.	On March 13, 2024, the SEC filed	d its Response to Mr. Musk's Motion for De Novo
9	Determination. Dkt. No. 43.		
10	5.	On March 20, 2024, an attorney a	t my firm sent an email to counsel for the SEC (Mr.
11	Robin Andrews) explaining that Mr. Musk would seek leave of the Court to file a reply brief in		
12	support of his Motion for De Novo Determination and sought its consent to the filing of a reply		
13	brief. Mr. Andrews responded that the SEC takes no position regarding the filing of a reply brief.		
14	6.	Accordingly, a stipulation could r	not be obtained on Mr. Musk's Motion for Leave.
15	I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my		
16	knowledge and belief.		
17	Executed in Miami, Florida, on March 22, 2024.		
18			
19	Dated: Marc	eh 22, 2024	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
20			SULLIVAN, LLP
21			By: /s/ Alex Spiro Alex Spiro
22			Attorney for Elon Musk
23			Thiorney for Lion music
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			